The Chicago Tribune.

VOLUME 25. CHICAGO, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 1872.—SIX PAGES. NUMBER 203.

WASHINGTON.

A Proposed Senatorial Inquiry on the Subject of Political Patronage.

Messrs. Morton and Trumbull Indulge in Remarks of a Personal Character.

The Chicago Delegation Have a Hearing before the Senate Finance Committee.

The Sumner Arms Resolution Adopted in the House Without Debate.

Important Correspondence Relative to the New York Custom House Frauds.

Colonel Arthur's Suggestions Concerning the General Order Business.

Special Despatch to The Chicago Tribune.

THE CUSTOM HOUSE FRAUDS IN NEW YORK.

WASHINGTON, D. C., Feb. 26.—The following is the letter of the Attorney General to District Attorney Davis, of New York, written by direction of the President, and heretofore given in substance:

WASHINGTON, Feb. 14, 1872.

Hon. Noah Davis, United States Attorney, New York:

SIR: Referring to the recent investigation into Custom House affairs, by a Committee of Congress from New York, it appears that certain persons engaged in the importation of goods into the United States have given presents, rewards, or bribes, to officers or persons connected with the collection of the revenue, and that such persons or officers have accepted the same. You are, therefore, by direction of the President, instructed to cause inquiry to be made in such and similar cases that may come to your knowledge, and if it be found that any such presents, rewards, or bribes have been given or received, in violation of the acts of Congress to prevent smuggling, or to prevent and punish frauds upon the revenue, to prosecute and punish the offenders without unnecessary delay. Very respectfully yours,

GEORGE H. WILLIAMS, Attorney General.

Mr. Davis has just replied, and, after saying it will give him great pleasure to proceed with vigor, in the cases, presents some of the difficulties he finds in his way, as follows:

At present, no complaints are made to this office upon which proceedings can be instituted. We are not at liberty, under the laws, to issue warrants for the arrest of offenders, or institute actions sustained by some proof of probable guilt. The importers, who are the gainers by the corruptions, will not inform of guilty conduct whom they have themselves bribed. In general, persons to be subpœnaed to lay foundation for secondary regard that the Logan bill gave about every body in Chicago the right to import a limited amount for the term of one year, free of duty; and, second, that the goods and materials must be imported at the port of Chicago. This latter point the delegation were anxious should be so plainly stated in the forthcoming report of that Committee, and in fact to be inserted to extend to all ports in the United States where material was imported intended to be used in rebuilding the burnt district. The first error of the Committee the delegation are satisfied that they corrected. They demonstrated that as the bill was drawn and as they wanted it passed, it expressly provided that only that material imported should be allowed rebate which was actually used in rebuilding property destroyed in the burnt district, and that, too, only when used within one year's time. It was shown that so far as the other districts of Chicago were concerned, the bill operated to protect the Government fully as amply as legislation could, and it was always in the province of the Secretary of the Treasury to verify the proper use of rebated material wherever the surplus might be imported. Of course, if not used in the manner and time specified, there was no necessity for rebating duties. The delegation insisted in the outset to adhering to the original plan of relief as amended by Mr. Logan, and not accepting any compromise as had been proposed. They showed that all such measures as Morrill's were strongly impracticable. Potter Palmer instanced iron. If its importation from abroad should be limited as prescribed by Vermont, and as desired by Scott, all orders for this material made with in Chicago would have to specify just the size, weight, kind, and order of manufactured iron. He also demonstrated that, of all interests to be affected by the measure, lumber came in for the least burden. Chicago imports 1,000,000,000 feet of lumber annually, and only 50,000,000 feet could possibly be used in rebuilding the burnt district. On this point Mr. Ogden somewhat staggered the Committee by stating that his own lumber interests in Michigan were greater than any of those who had protested against the bill. The outline of Mr. Ogden's remarks is about as follows: He thought it rather a sorry spectacle that Congress should be quibbling over the present measure of relief, when the whole civilized world had been touched by the extent of the calamity in a Western city, and had contributed so generously to relieve its sufferings. Relief was not needed for the rich. These did not ask it, but were proceeding with their improvements already independent of any action Congress might take. It was men of small means who were awaiting action upon the proposed bill.

Mr. Scammon, who followed Mr. Ogden, confined himself principally to criticisms upon the bill. He argued that it was necessary to relieve Chicago from the grasp of speculators who had already advanced the prices of building materials to an extortionate degree. He alluded to brick rings and other combinations which had already been formed among dealers in and manufacturers of all materials that entered into a building process, and stated that the defeat of the bill would be a signal for an advance in prices which would crush the enterprise in the work of restoring Chicago.

Governor Bross touched upon the Constitutional features of the proposed bill, and also upon another fault in connection therewith, of which the Committee seemed to be ignorant. This was that the Government had already received one duty upon all the materials which it was now proposed to let come in free. He thought that it was not just that the Government should seek to profit through Chicago's calamity. Fifty millions of dollars worth of goods had been destroyed, on which twelve millions of dollars duties had once been paid. This vast sum which had been paid into the Treasury were utterly destroyed, must again be wrung from a necessitous people. All that was asked was, that rebate be granted where it was within the province of Congress to allow it.

Perkins Bass and Mr. Coburn concluded the remarks of the delegation when the Committee arose. The Committee subsequently decided to give a hearing to Senators Chandler and Ferry against the bill to-morrow. Among the incidents of the Committee session to-day was acknowledgment from Chandler that Ogden's speech, showing his own vast lumber interests, was the hardest blow the enemies of the bill had yet received. Senator Ferry, who attempted to break the force of Scammon's arguments, as to lumber rings, announcing the process, openly stated that he would sell his lumber $1 per m. cheaper for the next year than for the two years last past. Several members of the Chicago delegation dared Ferry to put that promise in writing or accept contracts for lumber there and then. No contracts have been made yet, it may be stated.

Thomas Hoyne and M. W. Fuller arrived this morning, and will reinforce the delegation in their labors as well as numbers. It is the unanimous determination of the delegation, as stated by Mr. Ogden to-day, to accept of no compromise upon Logan's bill. It has become evident within the last few days that there is a powerful lobby using outside influence against the bill. This lobby represents much timber, and how powerful it may be will be injuriously effected by the bill. It seeks, through misrepresentation and by appealing to those principles in favor of protection, to array this latter element against the bill. The delegation to-night are more sanguine than ever of final success. They do not expect to get the bill reported from the Committee before Monday, and then are confident of passing it with but little debate.

SUMNER'S ARMS RESOLUTION.

On motion of Mr. Lynch, of Maine, the House to-day passed Mr. Sumner's arms resolution without debate or objection, and instructed the Committee on Expenditures in the War Department to make the investigation. Williams, of Ind., is Chairman, the other members being Barber, of Ohio; Snyder, of Ark.; Aiken, of Penn., and Kasselle, of New York, the latter a Democrat. The Committee will begin to-morrow.

NYE'S SPEECH.

Senator Nye had the best audience of the season to-day. Ladies were allowed to fill the entire space back of the desk. Everybody expected a session of unalloyed amusement, but Nye abandoned his character of comedian, and attempted to be serious. The result was, the entertainment was voted on all hands to be a failure.

PIG IRON.

The vote in the House on the resolution to reduce duty on pig-iron from $7 to $5, was not a fair test of the question at issue, since several reverse reformers and some pronounced free traders voted against the resolution, on the ground that it was not good policy to interfere further, by partial measures, with the work of the Ways and Means Committee.

TOBACCO.

The vote upon fixing 16 cents as the uniform tax on tobacco was more nearly a test of the House, since it was asked simply as such. Still, some who favor this rate also voted against this, on the ground already given for opposing the pig-iron resolution.

CAMERON DISPLEASED.

Senator Cameron does not like the President's selection of Collector of Philadelphia, on the ground that he is not a politician, and cannot render the necessary aid toward the carrying of the State next fall. For this, as well as for other reasons, he thinks the President made a serious mistake.

To the Associated Press.

THE GENERAL ORDER BUSINESS.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 26.—General Arthur, Collector of the Port of New York, has written a letter to Secretary Boutwell in which he expresses the opinion that the complete reorganization of the General Order business cannot be effected without additional legislation, and suggests that no steamship should be allowed to discharge a cargo under General Order within less than two full business days after her arrival. The Collector also says: "It seems to me that the following changes in the existing system may be properly made: Require goods to divide at a water front of the city into districts, making four or more upon the North River, and five on the East River in New York, and so designate on warehouse of class 3 in each district to which all goods removed under General Order from vessels landing within that district shall be sent. I propose, also, to designate six or more similar warehouses in Brooklyn, and one at Hunter's Point. In addition to this, I propose to permit the several steamship companies to select any class 3 store located in the district in which their ships land as a warehouse for the storage of unclaimed goods arriving by their vessels respectively, subject, however, to the right of revocation that any steamship company nor any person connected with it shall have any interest in or control over the warehouse so designated. I see no objection to any system which continues the control of the carrier over imported goods after they leave the ship affords undue facility for frauds on the Government and increased difficulty in detection."

To this letter Mr. Boutwell replies as follows:

TREASURY DEPARTMENT, }
WASHINGTON, Feb. 26. }

"SIR: I laid your letter of the 23d before the President, and I am authorized to say that he approves the main features of your plan for the storage of General Order goods. The change should be made with far less delay than your letter would seem to call for will permit. In the reorganization of the General Order of business, political influences and personal interests should be set aside. Having first made the rights of the Government secure, it is the President's wish that the work shall be so arranged and conducted as to give the largest facilities to merchants with the least possible cost. The details or organization must be left to your judgment. Respectfully,

"BOUTWELL, Secretary."

NOMINATIONS.

The following nominations were sent to the Senate to-day: Perry Hanna, Receiver of Public Moneys at Traverse City, Mich.; Wm. T. Goodwill, Register of the Land Office at Lincoln, Nebr.; H. H. Hall, of Michigan, Consul at Valencia, Spain. Postmasters—E. W. Houghton, Welling ton, O.; E. F. Thomas, Circleville, O.; S. T. Holmes, Wichita, Kan.; Mrs. S. E. Edwards, Shawneetown, Ill.; J. H. Moore, El Paso, Ill.; John C. Cotton, Belvidere, Ill. Internal Revenue Appointments—Gaugers, J. F. Anderson, Third Ohio District; Oliver Babcock, First Indiana District.

PHILADELPHIA COLLECTORSHIP.

Seth S. Cowny has been nominated for Collector of Philadelphia.

THE NEW ORLEANS INVESTIGATION.

Three weeks will probably elapse before the New Orleans Special Committee will make their report, all the testimony not having yet been written out. It is not likely that any legislation will be suggested further than the new election for members of Congress and of the Legislature of Louisiana take place on the same day as the Presidential election, which it is thought may in some degree guard against frauds.

GENERAL BABCOCK.

Statements having been made to the effect that General Babcock holds four positions, drawing a salary for each, it is proper to say that this is a mistake. The records of the Treasury Department show that, since the inauguration of President Grant, General Babcock has drawn but one salary, and that is attached to his position as Major of Engineers.

The friends of Cuba in Washington deny the truth of the Havana despatch that General Aguramonte has surrendered the Spaniards, and say he commands the Department of Canagay. The person reported as having surrendered is Captain Agramonte, who was on Jordan's staff.

COMING COURT MARTIAL.

Charles C. Washburn, formerly Minister to Paraguay, has arrived here. His presence is in connection with a court-martial which he says will convene at an early day for Admiral Davis and Marvin for their conduct while respectively in command of the South Atlantic squadron when Washburn was Minister to Paraguay.

CONGRESSIONAL.

SENATE.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 26.

NEW BILLS.

Bills introduced:

By Mr. RICE—Providing that persons held as prisoners of war, or as hospital at the time, or subpœnaed to the taking of their commissions shall have the same pay and emoluments as if mustered at that date.

By Mr. SPENCER—Removing political disabilities from all persons engaged in the rebellion on condition that they shall not be enabled to maintain any claim or right against the United States before removal of such disabilities, unless the same accrued prior to April 11, 1861, or subsequent to April 19, 1865.

PROPER INQUIRY.

Mr. CONKLING offered the following resolution:

"*Resolved*, That the President be requested to inform the Senate of the number of recommendations for appointment to, or removal from, office, so far as can be ascertained, made to the present Administration by persons now Senators from the States of New York, Missouri, Illinois, and Nebraska, respectively, giving such particulars as may be given in regard to such recommendations to persons whose appointment or removal was proposed. Together with a statement of the number of cases in which action was taken in accordance with such recommendations respectively; of the number of such persons so appointed who have been removed; and also whether any Senators have solicited appointments or removals in any case or class of cases by transmitting or specifying the character and qualifications of applicants, or of those who vouched for applicants, and, if so, what Senators?"

Mr. TIPTON moved that all the States be included.

The resolution went over.

Mr. SAWYER moved to include South Carolina.

Mr. CONKLING accepted the amendment.

Mr. TIPTON moved to amend so as to include all the States.

Mr. CONKLING said he was willing to vote for a similar resolution applying to all the States, but he preferred to have things not pass unexplained, as he desired the information asked for as soon as possible.

Mr. TIPTON said Mr. Conkling was in favor of partial investigations.

Mr. SUMNER called attention to the fact that Mr. Sawyer and Mr. Trumbull had just taken their seats. The investigation must not be pressed behind the backs of the Senators concerned.

Mr. CONKLING said he had not noticed the absence of the Senators mentioned. He would be candid, and say that it was his own recommendations he was going after.

Mr. SCHURZ acted to have the resolution read, as he was absent when it was offered.

The resolution was again read.

Mr. TRUMBULL. When this matter of recommendations to office was brought to the attention of the State on Friday, by the Senator from Indiana (Mr. Morton), I did not think it proper to reply to his observations, and when he stated that he had seen a tabulated statement, showing the number of recommendations made by me, I thought it somewhat remarkable that the Senator should be allowed to discharge a cargo under General Order within less than two full business days after her arrival. The Collector also says: "It seems to me that the following changes in the existing system may be properly made: Require goods to divide at a water front of the city into districts, making four or more upon the North River, and five on the East River in New York, and so designate on warehouse of class 3 in each district to which all goods removed under General Order from vessels landing within that district shall be sent. I propose, also, to designate six or more similar warehouses in Brooklyn, and one at Hunter's Point. In addition to this, I propose to permit the several steamship companies to select any class 3 store located in the district in which their ships land as a warehouse for the storage of unclaimed goods arriving by their vessels respectively, subject, however, to the right of revocation that any steamship company nor any person connected with it shall have any interest in or control over the warehouse so designated. I see no objection to any system which continues the control of the carrier over imported goods after they leave the ship affords undue facility for frauds on the Government and increased difficulty in detection."

To this letter Mr. Boutwell replies as follows:

"TREASURY DEPARTMENT, }
"WASHINGTON, Feb. 26. }

"SIR: I laid your letter of the 23d before the President, and I am authorized to say that he approves the main features of your plan for the storage of General Order goods. The change should be made with far less delay than your letter would seem to call for will permit. In the reorganization of the General Order of business, political influences and personal interests should be set aside. Having first made the rights of the Government secure, it is the President's wish that the work shall be so arranged and conducted as to give the largest facilities to merchants with the least possible cost. The details or organization must be left to your judgment. Respectfully,

"BOUTWELL, Secretary."

NOMINATIONS.

The following nominations were sent to the Senate to-day: Perry Hanna, Receiver of Public Moneys at Traverse City, Mich.; Wm. T. Goodwill, Register of the Land Office at Lincoln, Nebr.; H. H. Hall, of Michigan, Consul at Valencia, Spain. Postmasters—E. W. Houghton, Wellington

OUR ORIENTAL VISITORS.

Arrival of the Japanese Embassy in Chicago.

The Distinguished Gentlemen and Ladies Cordially Welcomed.

Address by Mayor Medill and Reply by Iwakura.

The Embassy to be Shown Round the City To-day.

The Japanese Embassy left Burlington, Iowa, night before last, about 12 o'clock, and arrived in Chicago yesterday afternoon at half-past 2. Their progress through the State was without special incident. We can easily imagine that by this time they begin to be slightly wearied with foreign travel and that the monotonous prairie scenery through which their last day's journey lay, had for them few attractions. Considerable curiosity to catch a glimpse of them was visible all along the road. At every town and village, crowds of people assembled at the stations, gathered not only from the immediate vicinity, but from a radius of many miles in the country. Upon a people like the Japanese, instinctively polite and well bred, these places of American civilization must have been an unpleasant impression. The average depot lounger of American towns is not a particularly agreeable animal, even when quiescent; and when laboring under any special excitement, he is exceedingly disagreeable to contemplate. A sight of ragged, unkempt men and boys staring in upon them, with wild eyes, flattening their dirty noses against the car windows, and occasionally saying out "Come out here, and let us see yer," or "Show yourselves, you yaller duffers," could not fail to impress disagreeably the refined and dignified gentlemen of the Embassy. The matter was explained to them, and they doubtless appreciated the situation, knowing that there are ill-bred people in all nations.

THE RECEPTION COMMITTEE.

The Committee appointed to meet the Embassy upon the road consisted of Mr. McAVOY, President of the Common Council, and Aldermen Blair, Sherman, Huffner, Buehler, Woodman, Thompson, and Colleton. These gentlemen arose with the early bird yesterday morning, and went to Aurora by the 7 o'clock train. A special car had been provided for their accommodation. Arriving at Aurora they found that they had considerable time upon their hands before the guests should arrive. How to spend the lagging moments was the problem to be solved. A few reporters were with the party, but, having been from between the jaws of the unlovely pulled, they were in no condition to afford entertainment to a lot of *blase* Aldermen. Fortunately, the Aldermanic deputation was met by some good Samaritans of Aurora, who acted as guides, and showed the Chicago strangers the sights of that great city. They were taken to the pinnacle of the highest building in the place, and shown the wonderful manufacturing establishments thereof. The Council Chamber was visited, and the archives of the "City of the Morn" exposed to public view. Wearied with this sight-seeing, the delegation of city fathers hied themselves to the highest-priced hostelry in the place, and took food for inward man. Scarcely had they removed the remains of the sumptuous repast from the interstices between their teeth, when it was announced that the train containing the Embassy was in that *terra incognita* known in Aurora as "beyond the bridge." Here the Chicago delegation of time-honored journalistic accessions from Chicago.

ALL AURORA GATHERED THERE TOGETHER,

and came as one man to the station to receive the Embassy. The journalist who thoroughly understood his business would estimate the number at 10,000. Unprejudiced persons, not connected with the enterprise, might fall considerably below this estimate. All the women with large feet were there, to such an extent that it was difficult to get on the platform. All the young men who part their hair in the middle were on hand, trying to appear well balanced. All the bald headed old fathers didn't know they were on the exterior, were rushing about like mad. It would seem that there are no schools in Aurora, or, if there are, they are not patronized by those who are most sadly in need of education. Fathers and mothers came, leaning upon each other's arms for mutual support, and dragging their children by each other's cotton-gloved hands, and, in their mutual devotion, almost forgot to do anything to the children. Mingled with the crowd were a few staid and well-behaved people, who knew why they had come, and what they were waiting for. Probably each motley crowd could not be collected anywhere outside of Aurora; certainly not anywhere outside of the United States. The multitude was distributed along the track on both sides, as spare care that were standing anywhere available, and every where where vantage ground for sight could be obtained, and all made pretence to listen, and gaze incessantly up into the air for distance beyond the bridge.

THE ORIENTALS AT DINNER.

Presently the train came thundering in. Long before it hove in sight, symptoms of coming were crowded with young Aurora. Through the windows of the dining-room our our Japanese brethren from the sea could be seen at their dinner. An interior view of this palace of beefsteak and ambrosia is vouchsafed to our readers. The Oriental gentlemen had been invited to attend a banquet given by their host, the military corps of Aurora. They had not long been used to the knife and fork of American civilization, but had taken the gastronomic instruments as an average American company seen from day to day at our best hotels. The table was spread with wines and decorous, and characterized by good-breeding. They sat with due appreciation of the viands before them, as well as of the appropriate of the occasion. A lack of good-breeding is nowhere so easily discovered as at table. Indeed, by the table and table manners consideration their manners as regards the exactions of European etiquette, our Japanese visitors are truly entitled to be called gentlemen.

ILL-MANNERED PEOPLE.

Nothing could well been have ruder or more ill-mannered than the conduct of the crowd at the station. At every pane of glass there was an ill-favored visage. At some of the windows at least a dozen persons were peering at once, and by turns. They climbed over the tops of the cars, they stood upon the brakes to the imminent peril of their valuable lives, they perched upon each another's backs, they looked under each another's arms, they tried every muscular resource to get a mere glimpse of the strange visitors. The car which contained the young ladies of the Embassy was the centre of observation. Here the crowd was packed with young maidens, old men with spary locks, and ancient matrons in their last quarter of a century. The crowding continued until the train was all under way, and the depot became a desert speck in the distance. It seemed for a while that this pretty hillside country of Aurora was to have its population increased by a hundred or two unexpected persons, but the entire population of the city was safely dropped within a mile of the station without dismembering or harming any one.

"OUR COMMITTEE" INTRODUCED THEMSELVES.

As soon as the Chicago Committee could shoulder their way through the crowd they hastened the car containing the ladies. Here they were met by Minister DeLong, Mr. Brooks, Japanese Consul at San Francisco, and Mr. Rice, interpreter to the American Legation. Kindly greetings were interchanged, and all were made acquainted. After the train had passed Aurora a few miles, Iwakura, Prime Minister and Ambassador Extraordinary, Kido, Minister of the Imperial Household, Ito, Acting Minister of Public Works, and Yamaguchi, Assistant Minister of Foreign Affairs, were introduced to the Chicago gentlemen. Presentations followed. The members of the Committee of the Council were first presented, after ward, other gentlemen accompanying the party. Mr. Rice acted as interpreter, pronouncing the name of each gentleman presented. The distinguished Orientals were all in European costume. The names were successively announced. The five Ambassadors were all in European costume. Iwakura only adhered to his native customs so far as to wear his black hair tied with a silk cord at the top of his head. He is a rather fine looking man, with decidedly Caucasian features. He speaks English with tolerable fluency, having spent some months at a residence in England. He conversed without reserve with the gentlemen of the city delegation. After a few moments spent in exchanging glittering compliments, and in the Ambassadors politely bowed themselves out, and retreated to their car. The Committee remained with Mr. DeLong, Mr. Brooks, Mr. Rice,—all of whom replied politely and patiently to the multitude of questions that were asked them,—and visited the car of the Ambassadorial retinue. The young Ambassadors occupied one corner of the car, saying little or nothing, but watching the fair, unlovely landscape through the car windows as the train sped toward Chicago.

THE ORIENTALS AT AVONDALE.

Although the Japanese and their retinue come principally from Yeddo, or its immediate vicinity, they are not a homogeneous physical race. Physically considered, they are small, rarely exceeding five feet eight inches in height, but are remarkably well formed. In their face can be seen a singular variety of characteristics. Some have nearly the Caucasian type of features, the Roman nose, forehead full and high, chin, slightly aquiline, and the mouth and chin delicately curved, and rounded. Others have thick cheek bones and chin, narrow forehead, and the features of the aboriginal American. Some are strictly Mongolian. These, some a moderate proportion of the whole, have the refinements of the African race, but indistinctly traceable. All have black eyes, black, straight hair, and the natural Oriental complexion. They all have a bright, intelligent look, and all show a quickness of appreciation truly remarkable. Their entire physique is well built, and their every style of dress. The garments were evidently made for the man, and every figure showed that they adorn. But above that was their genius at the mechanism of the human form that declares its wholesome manhood. Their chests are well developed, their arms and legs are symmetrical and well rounded. Their is generally worn, but not by all, checked pantaloons, tucked into the manly boots of a fine quality of leather. The neckties are principally made of the material of the dress worn by the wearer. The favorite hat is the fedora, although a few wore the stovepipe stereotype, which was not shoved over the head, but was tipped forward on the back of the head in the most fashionable manner. The manufacturer and fashionable tailor of Japan deserves a good notice. They are well. There was nowhere any sign of the ill-fitting garment, nor even the slightest of the capillary overplus. The Japanese are a well-dressed people, and, in fashion, equal to any in the world. Some of the gentlemen of the Embassy have been in Europe for many years. The car which contained the young ladies of the Embassy was the centre of observation. Here the crowd packed itself with maidens, old men with spary locks, and ancient matrons in their last quarter of a century. The crowding continued until the train was all under way, and the depot became a desert speck in the distance. It seemed for a while that this pretty hillside country of Aurora was to have its population increased by a hundred or two unexpected persons, but the entire population of the city was safely dropped within a mile of the station without dismembering or harming any one.

"OUR COMMITTEE" INTRODUCED THEMSELVES.

As soon as the Chicago Committee could shoulder their way through the crowd they hastened the car containing the ladies. Here they were met by Minister DeLong, Mr. Brooks, Japanese Consul at San Francisco, and Mr. Rice, interpreter to the American Legation. Kindly greetings were interchanged, and all were made acquainted. After the train had passed Aurora a few miles, Iwakura, Prime Minister and Ambassador Extraordinary, Kido, Minister of the Imperial Household, Ito, Acting Minister of Public Works, and Yamaguchi, Assistant Minister of Foreign Affairs, were introduced to the Chicago gentlemen. Presentations followed. The members of the Committee of the Council were first presented, after ward, other gentlemen accompanying the party. Mr. Rice acted as interpreter, pronouncing the name of each gentleman presented. The distinguished Orientals were all in European costume. The names were successively announced. The five Ambassadors were all in European costume. Iwakura only adhered to his native customs so far as to wear his black hair tied with a silk cord at the top of his head. He is a rather fine looking man, with decidedly Caucasian features. He speaks English with tolerable fluency, having spent some months at a residence in England. He conversed without reserve with the gentlemen of the city delegation. After a few moments spent in exchanging glittering compliments, and in the Ambassadors politely bowed themselves out, and retreated to their own

NEW PUBLICATIONS.

Prof. Jevons' Work on "Political Economy."

Yesterdays with Authors," and Other New Books.

THE THEORY OF POLITICAL ECONOMY. By W. STANLEY JEVONS, M. A., Professor of Logic and Political Economy in Owen's College, Manchester, London, and New York: Macmillan & Co. 1871.

As Pastiat has done the most to bring Political Economy within the reach of common people, so undoubtedly has Professor Jevons, in the volume before us, done the most to put it beyond the reach of scholars. We are glad to recognize the author as one of those who believe that there are important truths to be discovered in the domain of this noble science, and who are zealously seeking after them; but we are constrained to say, that the first reading of Professor Jevons' new work is disappointing, part of it unintelligible, and another part inconclusive. That which Political Economy most needs is an audience. It is as one of its Scottish expounders has said, "a science little understood even by educated persons." To the multitude it is known very much as Hebrew or Sanscrit are known,—things vaguely supposed to be useful to those who understand them. To the class of workingmen who have attained admission to the Trades' Unions, it is looked upon as a conspiracy to cheat them out of their dues. To the average professional man, it is infelicitous and a correspondence. Nobody is one inch thick is fitted to the man heel, and a screw passes and wood into a metallic ed to the outer sole. In this change his boot-heels in a

ESS NOTICES.

Repairing.—Because your ly bunches, don't despond. We ston's Chemical Hair Invigorcelebrity in three days. We ove that it will promote a full brow. There will be no further ir, nor any change in its color, to use the article. Sold by all

Only.—$150,000 in 3,000 Legally Distributed, March 25, ital Gift Concert at Mercy Hospital, ed by the Sisters of Mercy, 3,000. Tickets, $5 each, or two Many inducements to clubs. ers address Potter & Gardiner,

Great.—$150,000 in 3,000 Legally Distributed, March 25, ital Gift Concert at Mercy Hospital, ge of the Sisters of Mercy, 3,000. Tickets, $5 each, or two Many inducements to clubs. ers address Potter & Gardiner,

Indigestion, Dyspepsia, General Debility, the Fever-Haunts Calisaya Bark (Calisaya Bark best tonic, made by Caswell, of York, and sold by all druggist sts.

made smooth by using Dunham manufactured by Caswell, Hazard

RING GOODS.

Gossage & Co.

to Rose & Gossage.)

ll, and are daily opening a reat variety of

pring Goods!

g Silks,
ese Silks,
ne Poplins,
ed Foulards,
ed Cashmeres,
ed Cretonnes,
h Chintz,
n Plaids,
ies,
French & Scotch
s and Ginghams,
g Shawls,
c., etc.

at inducements to buyers of

EEKEEPING GOODS,

ry complete assortment of all ing to this department.

tment of our well-known REAMLESS" Kid Gloves is

235 & 237
MADISON-ST.
FORMERLY AT
State, 56 and 58 Washington-st.

SILKS.

ARSON,
E & CO.
A SPECIALTY.

ued Attractions.

T OPENED:
w line of Japanese Poplins, a yard upwards.
apanese Silks in great variety.
Japanese Silks in every shade.
rdities in Silks for Spring Costs.
d Dresses, comprising White allie grounds, with hair line, Black Stripes. &c., &c.
Dark Stripe Silks, at 75 cents,

ent of New Spring and Evening Dress Goods, Fallies, Poult de., &c.
on all Black Silks.

show customers a k of Silks, second to ariety and cheapness.

venty-second-st.

RNING OILS' &C.

BURNING
AND
RICATING
MANUFACTURERS OF
Lard and Grease Oils,
Miners' Lamp Oil,
Sperm-Signal Oil,
Machinery Oils,
Tallow Oils,
Paraffine Oils,
Head-Light Oil,
W. Va. Oils,
Lubricating Oil,
Wool Oils.
COTTON WASTE,
CAR CANDLES,
Axle Grease,
AND ALL KINDS OF
Machinery Oils and Greases,
For Mills, Factories, Machine Shops and Railroads.
Send for List and Prices.
& ATKINSON,
son-st., and Fifth-st.,
NATI, - - - OHIO.

CIAL NOTICES.

chelor's Hair Dye.
dye is the best in the world—perfect and instantaneous; no disappointment. This dye imparts immediately a splendid black or brown; produces a beautiful; does not contain a particle of lime, sulphur or nitrate of silver. Sold by all Druggists in the City of New York.

ure's Liquid Hair Dye
ersed throughout the entire world, and South America. It is really wonderful operation; short time, it changes, to a permanently shut color, without injury to the hair or skin. Sold by all Druggists. Proof of the excellence and efficacy of this preparation of the same is to be found in the signatures of Madison Square, N. Y.

PERRINS' SAUCE.
Pronounced by Connoisseurs
E ONLY GOOD SAUCE."
epsia and digestion, and it is universally
ed by Messrs. LEA & PERRINS
Dealers in Foreign and Domestic Provisions,
Oilmen, &c.
JOHN DUNCAN'S SONS,
Agents, New York.

YESTERDAYS WITH AUTHORS.

By JAMES T. FIELDS, Boston: James R. Osgood & Co. Chicago: For sale by all booksellers. 1872.

All those who met Mr. Fields in the pages of the *Atlantic Monthly*, and listened to the delightful reminiscences of his favorite authors which he recalled in "Our Whispering Gallery," will be glad to know that these reminiscences have been published in more permanent shape, and have been christened with the more suggestive title of "Yesterdays with Authors." Mr. Fields, by virtue of his long and honorable career as a publisher, had the pleasure of a personal acquaintance and a correspondence which grew from a business to a friendly character with the authors whom he recalls to us —Hawthorne, Hawthorne, Dickens, Wordsworth, and Miss Mitford; and to the opportunities which these intimacies gave him of knowing those of whom he writes, he owes his own grace of authorship. His work is made up of personal recollections of them, not of criticisms of their works, and has all the charm, therefore, of biography. To aid him in drawing his sketches, he notes liberally from his correspondence; for the letters of an author give a much clearer insight into his real character than his books. Each of these sketches is a mosaic of memories, put together with a loving hand, and reflecting all the lights of pathos, and humor, and sentiment. Mr. Fields writes with all the ease and grace that characterized his earlier works, and with a charm which, seemingly will never grow old. There is all the familiarity, freshness, and vigor of youth, and a cordiality of sympathy in his sketches, which give assurance that the author's heart is as young as ever. Some matter additional to that which appeared in "Our Whispering Gallery" has been incorporated with the recollections, including a letter of Miss Mitford's charming letters. Every reader who possesses the works of these authors should also possess these reminiscences, that he may know them more intimately.

HOGGEN AND OTHER POEMS. Boston: B. B. Russell. 1871.

Boston has just put forth another poetical venture this time anonymous,—a venture with a little merit and a great deal of audacity, but with no special hint that Professor Longfellow is likely to lose his laurels, or that any of the other poets suburban to Boston are likely to be eclipsed. The anonymous bard has a great deal of courage, however,—enough even to enclose in his volume a circular full of equivocal notices of his poems, and to challenge the charge of immaturity in his prefatory note to his publisher. He is evidently not a Keats to be killed by his reviewers, but rather a Byron, who will take up the cudgels in the defence of himself. Notwithstanding the clarion tones of semi-defiance which he sounds in his preface, the general reviewer will be inclined to join the general reviewer in the opinion that it would have been better had the fledgling waited until his wings were fully grown before he attempted a flight, and especially had he dropped the sensational immorality which disfigures almost every poem in the volume, however much he attempts to conceal it. It is not difficult to perceive that he has read Byron, and Alexander Smith, and Swinburne, and has appropriated the faults of all of them. In a word, the work is careless, slipshod, crude and offensive, from a moral as well as political view.

SHAKESPEARE'S HISTORY OF KING HENRY THE EIGHTH. Edited, with Notes, by WILLIAM J. ROLFE, A. M., formerly Head Master of the High School, Cambridge, Mass. With Engravings. New York: Harper & Brothers. Chicago: S. C. Griggs & Co. 1872.

Rolfe's editions of "The Merchant of Venice" and "The Tempest" are already well known to Shakspearean scholars, and have received high praise for the carefulness and scholarly manner in which they have been edited. The "Henry the Eighth," which has just appeared from the press of the Messrs. Harper, and makes the third of the series, like its predecessors, has been compiled with the greatest care, the text being the result of a collection of the Folio of 1623, with all the modern editions which have any critical value. It is thoroughly annotated, and quite profusely illustrated also. It has been edited in especially the same way as Greek and Latin classics are edited for educational purposes, and the leading features being a pure text, with expurgations, and notes for its elucidation. The work was primarily prepared for school purposes; but we doubt not that, when it is completed, it will find its place in the general library, and will fill a very prominent place in the regard of every Shakspearean scholar, from the good taste and discrimination with which the work has been done, and the historical value of its notes which accompany it. The publishers have seconded Mr. Rolfe's endeavors, and have succeeded in producing a volume which is specially creditable for its beauty of type, binding, and general convenience for reading.

THE LEE CASE.

Audi Alteram Partem.

To the Editor of The Chicago Tribune:

SIR: I am under obligations to you for so kindly allowing me the use of your columns to reply to an infamous article published in the Louisville *Ledger*, in which not only is my own character aspersed, but, with a "chivalry" peculiar, I hope, to those gallant Kentuckians,—one of whom can whip a dozen Yankees,—the fair fame of a pure and high-minded lady is paraded before the gaping crowd, of scandal-mongers as figuring in "an elopement."

I am nothing of a lawyer, Mr. Editor, and unskilled in making "the worse appear the better part." This is the first time I have had to defend my character, and I scarcely know how to go about it. I will begin, however, by stating who I am, give a brief account of my life, and then refer to the charges alluded to above.

I am a great-grandson of Richard Henry Lee, of Virginia, the Mover of the Declaration of Independence, and a grand-nephew of Arthur and William Lee,—the former United States Minister to Prussia, and Commissioner to France, with Deane and Franklin; the latter a signer of the Declaration. My father was the late Rev. Richard Henry Lee, LL. D. (of London County, Virginia), who wrote biographies of R. H. and Arthur Lee, an article on Washington, and the United States Navy as Private Secretary to Admiral Bell, and served under such officers as Tatnall, Foote, Paulding, Dahlgren, etc., until his death, in 1866. Though extremely doubtful as to the justness, fitness, or propriety of the course taken by our Southern leaders in precipitating the war, I have no doubt entertained by my father, in common with many eminent Virginians—General Lee and his brother, Gen. Fitzhugh, the Hon. Chapman Coleman, among the number,—I entered the Confederate service, and was assigned to the squadron in which Lieut. Gen. Pendleton, of Hampton Roads I was severely wounded. I left the service, and took no further part in the war; since the war I have been engaged in teaching—in some of our best schools, North and South,—lecturing for benevolent purposes, and preparing some books for the press. Among these latter, was a compiled edition of Leigh Hunt's Poetical Works (Mesnor & Baird), undertaken at the request of the publishers, of "Book of the Sonnet," edited by Mr. Hunt and myself. In this way I have obtained my livelihood, and, I trust, have been enabled to contribute to the interest and instruction of my fellow-men.

Now for the statements of the *Ledger*: The first is, that I "have been imposing upon the sympathies of the Southern people by claiming to be a nephew and adopted son of General Lee, *falsely false*. In my lecturing tours, I have sometimes been spoken of as a *brother*, then a *nephew*, then again a *son*, of General Lee. This was my fault. But, whenever such mistakes came to my notice, I rectified them. I never claimed to be more than I was. I am *not* so proud of my connection with General Lee as to lead me to *boast* of my relationship to him. I feel proud to be one of an ancient stock of English noblemen; that I am a lineal descendant of a long line of heroes, who have signally distinguished for high honor for eight hundred years; and, greater than all, that I am a great-grandson of the "Cicero of the Revolution," and the Mover of the Declaration of Independence. And, as for "playing upon the sympathies of the people of Kentucky," I have only to point to the thousands of brave Confederate soldiers, now almost dying, while their leaders, with scarcely an exception, are living in opulence, to show that I forgot, in the hour of need, the hope of any one who cared for "sympathy" in that quarter. No, sir, I have not appealed to the people of Kentucky for sympathy or aid. I have made my own living, while in the State, by my labor; I have taught, lectured for various benevolent purposes, and contributed to Kentucky soil, but *never*, in a *single instance*, have I asked or received a dollar for my services.

It is a base sneak that I "wrote a pitiful letter to General Breckinridge, and succeeded in getting $50 from him." This, too, is *false*. Several months ago I wrote to General B., telling him that I was a great-grandson of Richard Henry Lee, and a *cousin* of General Lee. I told him I was anxious to finish a book upon which I was engaged, and that owing to a recent pecuniary disaster, I was somewhat straitened for means to carry out my literary purposes. I told him I had access to many Revolutionary documents, which were a part of the "Lee Papers," and which I would let him have at his own valuation. He replied that he was, like myself, a ruined man; that he could not consent to deprive me of such relics; and sent me *ten dollars*. I returned the money, and sent him the money by mail, but he says he never received it. I wrote, also, to Mr. Carrington, of Virginia, making the same proposition, but never heard from him in reply. I wrote a line to Colonel Johnston about money, and he will bear witness to the fact.

The *Ledger* says "that, by adroit letters, I *induced* the Legislature to grant me the use of the hall for a lecture." This is another falsehood. I was *solicited*, time and again, by Colonel Jesse and others, to come to Frankfort and deliver a lecture. I was not in the least anxious to engage with him. I was under the impression that Colonel Jesse would corroborate my account of this matter.

The next two assertions made are, that I *ingratiated* myself into the confidence of the principal of a school in Newcastle and circled around the community lecturing, and inspired the confidence of the people." This is false. I tendered my services, in the usual way, to teach in the school, and while there, I did, I trust, as well as I could, not merely in the school, but by giving a course of *public* lectures, the money so raised may be very much needed by many, and delivered several lectures in other places, never asking or receiving a penny for so doing. Thus, in the space of three months, I gained the confidence of the people. As to my conduct while in Newcastle, I can confidently refer to Mr. Holland, the editor of the *Constitutionalist*; Drs. Gidham, Matthews, and others.

I wish I could pass over that part of the *Ledger's* article which refers to my daughter; but justice to her, as well as myself, demands that I make some explanation. The lady in question accompanied me, with the knowledge and sanction of her parents, to Louisville, and, in the full confidence of the parties, I was induced to engage with him for a year. Soon after her arrival, she had occasion to suggest some alterations in the management of the school, which were not kindly received; and, from this moment, a series of petty annoyances and persecutions were inaugurated, which rendered the lady's life a most unhappy one. She was spoken of before the pupils as a "Yankee;" her manners were criticised; and in many ways her life was rendered miserable. As we were engaged to be married, I deemed it my duty to advise her to resign. She did so, and accompanied by her mother, left for New York.

Some time after this, hearing I was sick, she determined—with the full knowledge and consent of the mother—to come and see me, and see that I was properly cared for. Her solicitude was not warranted by my condition; and it was fortunate that she should return home, and remain till I was proper to come for her. The whole story of the *marriage ceremony* being suddenly interrupted by a sort of "Vigilance Committee," is absolutely false. In my lecturing tours, I have sometimes been spoken of as a *brother*, then a *nephew*, then again a *son*, of General Lee. This was my fault. But, whenever such mistakes came to my notice, I rectified them. I never claimed to be more than I was. I am *not* so proud of my connection with General Lee as to lead me to *boast* of my relationship to him. I feel proud to be one of an ancient stock of English noblemen; that I am a lineal descendant of a long line of heroes, who have signally distinguished for high honor for eight hundred years; and, greater than all, that I am a great-grandson of the "Cicero of the Revolution," and the Mover of the Declaration of Independence. And, as for "playing upon the sympathies of the people of Kentucky," I have only to point to the thousands of brave Confederate soldiers, now almost dying, while their leaders, with scarcely an exception, are living in opulence, to show that I forgot, in the hour of need, the hope of any one who cared for "sympathy" in that quarter. No, sir, I have not appealed to the people of Kentucky for sympathy or aid. I have made my own living, while in the State, by my labor; I have taught, lectured for various benevolent purposes, and contributed to Kentucky soil, but *never*, in a *single instance*, have I asked or received a dollar for my services.

It is a base sneak that I "wrote a pitiful letter to General Breckinridge, and succeeded in getting $50 from him." This, too, is *false*. Several months ago I wrote to General B., telling him that I was a great-grandson of Richard Henry Lee, and a *cousin* of General Lee. I told him I was anxious to finish a book upon which I was engaged, and that owing to a recent pecuniary disaster, I was somewhat straitened for means to carry out my literary purposes. I told him I had access to many Revolutionary documents, which were a part of the "Lee Papers," and which I would let him have at his own valuation. He replied that he was, like myself, a ruined man; that he could not consent to deprive me of such relics; and sent me *ten dollars*. I returned the money, and sent him the money by mail, but he says he never received it. I wrote, also, to Mr. Carrington, of Virginia, making the same proposition, but never heard from him in reply. I wrote a line to Colonel Johnston about money, and he will bear witness to the fact.

The *Ledger* says "that, by adroit letters, I *induced* the Legislature to grant me the use of the hall for a lecture." This is another falsehood. I was *solicited*, time and again, by Colonel Jesse and others, to come to Frankfort and deliver a lecture. I was not in the least anxious to engage with him. I was under the impression that Colonel Jesse would corroborate my account of this matter.

The next two assertions made are, that I *ingratiated* myself into the confidence of the principal of a school in Newcastle and circled around the community lecturing, and inspired the confidence of the people." This is false. I tendered my services, in the usual way, to teach in the school, and while there, I did, I trust, as well as I could, not merely in the school, but by giving a course of *public* lectures, the money so raised may be very much needed by many, and delivered several lectures in other places, never asking or receiving a penny for so doing. Thus, in the space of three months, I gained the confidence of the people. As to my conduct while in Newcastle, I can confidently refer to Mr. Holland, the editor of the *Constitutionalist*; Drs. Gidham, Matthews, and others.

I wish I could pass over that part of the *Ledger's* article which refers to my daughter; but justice to her, as well as myself, demands that I make some explanation. The lady in question accompanied me, with the knowledge and sanction of her parents, to Louisville, and, in the full confidence of the parties, I was induced to engage with him for a year. Soon after her arrival, she had occasion to suggest some alterations in the management of the school, which were not kindly received; and, from this moment, a series of petty annoyances and persecutions were inaugurated, which rendered the lady's life a most unhappy one. She was spoken of before the pupils as a "Yankee;" her manners were criticised; and in many ways her life was rendered miserable. As we were engaged to be married, I deemed it my duty to advise her to resign. She did so, and accompanied by her mother, left for New York.

Some time after this, hearing I was sick, she determined—with the full knowledge and consent of the mother—to come and see me, and see that I was properly cared for. Her solicitude was not warranted by my condition; and it was fortunate that she should return home, and remain till I was proper to come for her. The whole story of the *marriage ceremony* being suddenly interrupted by a sort of "Vigilance Committee," is absolutely *false*

The Chicago Tribune.

Terms of Subscription.

PAYABLE IN ADVANCE:

Daily Edition, per year, by MAIL.....$12.00
Tri-Weekly Edition, per year, by MAIL..... 6.00
Sunday Edition, per year, by MAIL..... 2.00
Weekly Edition, per year, by MAIL..... 3.00

Parts of a year at the same rate.

To prevent delay and mistakes, be sure and give Post Office address in full, including State and County.

Remittances may be made either by draft, express, Post Office order, or in registered letters, all at our risk.

TERMS TO CITY SUBSCRIBERS:

Daily, delivered, Sunday excepted, 20 cents per week.
Daily, delivered, Sunday included, 25 cents per week.

Address THE TRIBUNE COMPANY,
Nos. 15 Clark-st., Chicago, Ill.

Tribune Branch Office, No. 449 Walnut-av., in the Bookstore of Messrs. Cobb, Andrews & Co., where advertisements and subscriptions will be received, and will secure the same attention as if left at the Main Office.

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 1872.

THE WATER SUPPLY.

The growth of Chicago has been so extraordinary that it is not surprising that policies adopted some years ago should now be found to be insufficient in their details for the present. Not so long ago but the incorporators are still among us, hale, hearty, and active citizens, Chicago undertook to supply itself with water drawn by a one-horse pump from a well sunk on Michigan avenue and distributed through log mains. A few years later, and the aspiring city erected the old water tower, and sunk a well in the North Division; and this, in turn, failing, we constructed the lake tunnel, the new water tower, and erected new machinery. We have now reached the full capacity of the new works to supply. It is time to consider, before we go any further, whether we have not overlooked any important details in this policy, and whether we may not obviate much of the present difficulty. We have constructed the tunnel, which is capable of furnishing to the wells 54,000,000 gallons daily; over these wells we have erected ponderous machinery, which is at work without intermission, day and night, all the year, in the vain effort to deliver 26,000,000 gallons daily with a head of 138 feet. We have but one system of water-supply, and to the water drawn from the pipes in basements there is given the same force as that which is drawn at the highest point. It is estimated that at least two-thirds of the water consumed in this city is drawn at an elevation of not over six feet, and, as a consequence, the power exercised at the pumping works to give a head of 138 feet to this amount is wasted, and, except at rare intervals, water cannot be obtained in any building at a greater height than 20 feet. Our machinery, therefore, is employed in an effort to give a head which is wholly unnecessary for two-thirds of the consumption, and, as a consequence, wastes the power which would enable it to give that head in those cases where it is really needed. It is a clear case of labor in vain, a hopeless task, and one which perils the safety and sufficiency of the entire water-supply of the city.

It is a matter of easy demonstration that the manufacturers and others who are the largest consumers do not need any head to the water they use, and that, if the city would furnish them a supply of water to be received into wells on their premises, they, by their own pumps, would manage the rest. The experience of the past has been sufficient to cause the proprietors of all large establishments to provide themselves with a water-supply in case of any undue dependence on the city water works, which are daily becoming less equal to the demand, and whose operations may at any moment be suspended. The time has now come for meeting this question, and of providing the means for a three-fold end: 1. Affording such relief to the already over-taxed water machinery that it may deliver water in the higher parts of buildings, and supply the remoter parts of the city. 2. That the larger consumers may have an inexhaustible supply of water at all times, subject to no accidents. 3. That the Fire Department should have a constant and abundant supply of water, in no way dependent on the pumps.

These results can be obtained by drawing from the lake, at a slight depth, a supply of water, to be conveyed by one large main through the North and both Divisions, and perhaps through the West Division, these mains, connected by a net-work of smaller pipes upon each street, conveying to every building making the connection a supply of water subject to no other vicissitude than the failure of the lake. In each building or yard, work-shop, factory, warehouse, and livery stable, there could be sunk a well which would of necessity be at all times full, and the water of which would be always available. At each street intersection, like wells could be constructed for the Fire Department, each well capable of furnishing half a dozen engines with an unlimited supply of water, thus enabling all the apparatus to get near the fire, and not, as now, be scattered over an area of half a mile square. These things being accomplished, and the regular water source being relieved of all delivery for these purposes, it would be able to deliver water to dwellings and hotels in the remotest parts of the city, and in the second and third stories of buildings, at half the strain which is now vainly spent in the attempt.

The cost of this work will, of course, be considerable, but it is a matter in which the cost becomes a subordinate consideration. The Council voted, two years ago, to expend one million and a half of dollars to extend the present system to the West Division. That measure has never been carried out, because of the inability of the Council to choose between the bids of the several owners of sites. One-third of this sum would establish this new and independent water-supply through all the densely-populated and manufacturing districts of the city, giving immediate relief to the regular water-works, and furnishing to the greater consumers a better supply, and furnishing a protection against fire wholly unattainable under the present system, no matter how much it may be enlarged.

The present is the most favorable opportunity for beginning this auxiliary water-supply. The glaring defect in our present system can now be remedied at less cost and less inconvenience than at any time hereafter, when the streets in the North and South Divisions will have been raised in grade. The insufficiency of our present system, its failure to furnish the head of water where the head is needed, and the expensive waste of power in furnishing the head where it is not needed, all point to the necessity of an independent supply for manufacturing purposes and for the Fire Department, and now is the time for at least beginning the work. An accident to the boilers or engines at the water-works would leave Chicago without water for any purpose. Is it wise any longer to leave the entire business of the city liable to suspension, and the entire city at the mercy of a fire? Is it not a matter of absolute necessity that we should furnish the Fire Department with water available under all circumstances? We commend this matter to the consideration of the Common Council as one demanding early action.

SPANISH AND ITALIAN SENSITIVENESS.

A new disturbance of foreign relations has now arisen between the United States and Spain on the one hand and Italy on the other. With the Catacazy affair in Russia, the sale of arms to the French Government, the Alabama complication, the vessel-seizures in Paraguay, and the new complications with Spain and Italy, the State Department cannot complain of dull times, and Secretary Fish can indulge in diplomatic letter-writing to his heart's content. Fortunately, however, there is no cause for serious apprehension in the way of disturbances, which at most can only result in the recall of the alleged offending Ministers, and the substitution of others who have no opinions of their own, or, if they have them, have prudence enough not to express them. In the charges which are brought against Mr. Sickles and Mr. Marsh, however, we do not see any special cause for complaint. It is not likely that any man could go to Madrid and make a move or express an opinion which would not be offensive to some one of the monthly factions of government with which that country is afflicted. In the confusion of factions, cabals, and conspiracies which pass for Government in Spain, and which have grown so distracting that even Amadeus himself has got tired out and wants to go back to Italy, it is not likely that any American, educated in the political forms and precepts of a stable Republican Government, would long succeed in steering his way between those factions, unless he were a wooden man. On the other hand, Minister Sickles has seemed to us to have been remarkably prudent and careful under remarkably aggravating circumstances, especially with reference to the Spanish atrocities in Cuba; and, if he has offended the cerulean blood of the Hidalgos, it is due quite as much to the catechising of the State Department as to any action of his own. During his recent visit to this country he certainly expressed himself in friendly terms towards the Spanish Court, and deprecated any strife between the two countries in the Florida case. The necessity of disturbing Mr. Marsh in his relations seems to us of even less importance than in the case of Mr. Sickles. There is no charge that he has intermeddled with the political affairs of Italy, or sought to embroil her in difficulty with any other nation. He has simply expressed an opinion to his own Government concerning the Government to which he is accredited, and that the Italians should find fault with it betrays a remarkable degree of national sensitiveness. Neither of these gentlemen has played the part of Cataczay, and we presume that nothing more serious will grow out of these charges than a temporary coolness between Mr. Sickles and Spain and Mr. Marsh and Italy, which will be removed by a diplomatic emollient such as Secretary Fish knows how to apply both gracefully and well.

MR. NELSON'S EMINENT DOMAIN BILL.

The bills in the State Legislature to provide for the exercise of the Right of Eminent Domain seem as far from enactment now as when they were first introduced, more than a year ago, into our General Assembly. One is called Senate bill and the other "Nelson's Substitute." The essential point of difference between them relates to deductions for benefits. Both bills provide that just compensation shall be made to the owners of private property taken or damaged for public use,—such compensation to be ascertained by a jury. The Senate bill, however, provides that, in assessing the compensation or damages to which the party may be entitled, the jury shall take into consideration and estimate the special benefits and advantages to the parties resulting from the construction and use of the improvement. And this sum shall be deducted from the damages, and the residue shall be paid to the persons whose property was taken or damaged. Nothing is said as to how this residue shall be raised by municipal corporations, whether by tax on real or personal property, or both; or whether the tax shall be levied on so much of the surrounding property as receives special benefit, and in the proportion of the benefit, or on all the property of the city in proportion to value, regardless of the question of benefit thereto.

Nelson's substitute is equally silent on this important point, and leaves the matter wholly unsettled. In the case of a railroad company, it is clear enough that the company shall pay the damage,—how it may procure the money is nobody's business. But, in the case of a municipal corporation, it becomes a very interesting question. The special benefits that may be deducted under the ninth section of the Senate bill may not, in a majority of cases, amount to 10 per cent of the damages awarded. Who is to pay the remaining 90 per cent?

Nelson's bill enacts that no benefits shall be deducted from damages; but the parties shall have full compensation for the value of their property, although the improvement may be of no appreciable value to any other persons than themselves. But it does not say who shall pay them, except, inferentially, that the property of the whole city shall be mulct.

The Constitution is also silent on the question. It simply provides "that private property shall not be taken or damaged for public use without just compensation,—to be ascertained by a jury." It says nothing as to who shall pay the damages, and nothing as to whether benefits shall be considered or deducted from the gross damages. The answers to these questions are left to the General Assembly. Nelson's bill does not determine the fact, and it should not be allowed to pass until it does. Shall those who are specially benefited by the improvement, or those who are not benefited, pay the damages. The whole controversy revolves around the question as to who shall pay the damages. Suppose one man is benefited fifty times as much as another, shall each pay in the same proportion? Shall A, benefited 50 per cent, B, 10 per cent, C, O, and D, injured 20 per cent, all be compelled to contribute in proportion to their taxable property? Suppose ten men are benefited 99 per cent of the value of the property taken or damaged, and 990 men receive 1 per cent benefit, shall the latter pay 99 per cent of the damages while only receiving 1 per cent of the benefits? Mr. Nelson and his supporters insist that they shall not; but his "notice to provision for levying the damages on the municipal corporation. It is fatally lame in prescribing no method whereby the money shall be raised. Shall it be by tax levied on all the property of the city per valuation? or so much per foot on real estate? or so much per foot on the street opened, or widened, or extended? or on all the surrounding property deemed benefited, in proportion to the same? There is no answer to these questions in Nelson's bill. The Constitution is silent on the point, and the Courts, not having legislative powers, cannot decide it; and, unless it is determined, the bill is imperfect and worthless.

It is a plain and undeniable proposition that benefits should be paid for by those who receive them, and, as far as practicable, in the proportion that each is benefited. The jury has only half finished its work, therefore, when it has ascertained the amount of compensation that should be made to the persons whose property has been taken or damaged. The other half of its duty is to ascertain and declare who has received the benefits accruing from the property taken, and in what proportion they shall pay them. If the jury returns a verdict that all the property of the corporation has been equally benefited, no matter where it is located, or of what it consists, then a tax should be levied by valuation to pay the damages. If the jury should determine that half the damages should be charged against the city and the other half against the adjoining property, then the money could be raised accordingly. But, if it returned a verdict that none of the benefits accruing from the improvement extended to all the property of the city, then the cost of the work must be charged against so much property as is adjudged to be benefited. Such a rule as this is based on common sense and fairness, and does not outrage the sense of justice by taxing Wabash avenue, for example, to widen its rival, State street; or tax Clark street to pay for extending its rival, Dearborn; or tax the whole city for making improvements which are purely local, and intended for the benefit of a few individuals. Where has our Common Council the authority to assess the cost of opening, widening, or extending a street upon the tax-payers of the whole city, regardless of the resulting benefit or injury to their property? In the absence of such legal authority, any taxpayer could enjoin and defeat the collection of such tax.

There is another aspect of the case which Mr. Nelson has overlooked in his bill: In proving for making compensation to owners of property taken or damaged for public use, he leaves out of view the damages that will be inflicted on unbenefited persons in the shape of taxes to pay for such property. Can their property be taken in order to compensate the other parties without some provision being made to recompense them? Take the State-street case, for example: The damages awarded to A we will suppose to be $50,000, and $5,000 of the amount is levied by general tax on the property of B, on Wabash avenue, who has received no benefit, but really has been injured by the improvement of the rival street. The tax-payer sells one of B's lots on that avenue. Has he not received a damage to the extent of the loss of his lot, and is he not, according to the bill of rights, entitled to just compensation? His property has been forcibly taken away from him, and, in effect, given to the State street man. It is nonsense to affirm that his remaining Wabash property has been benefited, for the contrary is the fact. Then, according to the principle of Nelson's bill, he will have the right to seize some other man's lot, in some other part of the town, whereby to indemnify himself; and the last man whose property has been taken can seize some other man's, to make himself whole. Where will all this lead to?

The fundamental error of the Nelson scheme is, that it makes no equitable provision for assessing the damages on those who have received the benefits arising from the improvement; but it rests on the false and absurd assumption that all the property of a city is equally benefited by the widening, opening, or extending of any street or alley, in any part of a city, and therefore the cost and damages of such work should be taxed on every citizen in proportion to his property. A bill founded on a principle so vicious and erring as this, is built on sand, and will tumble to the ground when the winds of justice blow and the rains of common sense beat upon it.

FORNEY'S LAST LETTER.

Colonel Forney seems to be attempting the temporary and dexterous performance of riding two horses which are going in opposite directions. Nothing is more certain than that he must either take one horse or the other, or come to the ground. He is dining one day with the President, the next with Sumner. The courtier who is so happy on two days is very likely to dine alone on the third. Some parties seem to be informed that he has resigned his Collectorship to be independent of Presidential influence, while others avow that it is with a view to support President Grant for re-election without being supposed to be influenced by six thousand dollars a year. To-day he is reported as conferring with Greeley and other designing persons concerning the Cincinnati "revolt." To-morrow it is intimated that, having helped elect several Presidents on very low terms without advantage to himself, he is looking out for something better next time.

No better specimen of Mr. Forney's balancing has yet appeared than his letter which we published yesterday. It states, on the authority of the late General Rawlins, that General Grant was reluctant to surrender a life income of $15,000 a year, as General of the Army, in exchange for two brief terms of office as President, at $25,000 per year, from which he would emerge, at 56 years of age, in a destitute condition, without any future provision for his family. It thus distinctly implies that he would not have accepted the Presidency for one term had he not thought himself sure of a second, and even then that he was making a probable pecuniary sacrifice, in order, not to satisfy any private or personal ambition, but to gratify the desires of the Republican party. From this point of view, Mr. Forney seems to be appealing on behalf of the President for a renomination on pecuniary grounds, which have not heretofore been urged. We are told that the President accepted his first term only because he felt sure of a second, and hence, if he fails of a second term, he becomes the victim of a sort of popular breach of faith.

Again, Mr. Forney says that General Rawlins foresaw that, if he (Grant) accepted presents from the rich whose vast fortunes have been made, or added, by the action of the ninth section of the Senate bill may not, in a majority of cases, amount to 10 per cent of the damages awarded. Who is to pay the remaining 90 per cent?

Nelson's bill enacts that no benefits shall be deducted from damages; but the parties shall have full compensation for the value of their property, although the improvement may be of no appreciable value to any other persons than themselves. But it does not say who shall pay them, except, inferentially, that the property of the whole city shall be mulct.

The Constitution is also silent on the question. It simply provides "that private property shall not be taken or damaged for public use without just compensation,—to be ascertained by a jury." It says nothing as to who shall pay the damages, and nothing as to whether benefits shall be considered or deducted from the gross damages. The answers to these questions are left to the General Assembly. Nelson's bill does not determine the fact, and it should not be allowed to pass until it does. Shall those who are specially benefited by the improvement, or those who are not benefited, pay the damages. The whole controversy revolves around the question as to who shall pay the damages. Suppose one man is benefited fifty times as much as another, shall each pay in the same proportion? Shall A, benefited 50 per cent, B, 10 per cent, C, O, and D, injured 20 per cent, all be compelled to contribute in proportion to their taxable property? Suppose ten men are benefited 99 per cent of the value of the property taken or damaged, and 990 men receive 1 per cent benefit, shall the latter pay 99 per cent of the damages while only receiving 1 per cent of the benefits? Mr. Nelson and his supporters insist that they shall not; but his "notice to provision for levying the damages on the municipal corporation. It is fatally lame in prescribing no method whereby the money shall be raised. Shall it be by tax levied on all the property of the city per valuation? or so much per foot on real estate? or so much per foot on the street opened, or widened, or extended? or on all the surrounding property deemed benefited, in proportion to the same? There is no answer to these questions in Nelson's bill. The Constitution is silent on the point, and the Courts, not having legislative powers, cannot decide it; and, unless it is determined, the bill is imperfect and worthless.

It is a plain and undeniable proposition that benefits should be paid for by those who receive them, and, as far as practicable, in the proportion that each is benefited. The jury has only half finished its work, therefore, when it has ascertained the amount of compensation that should be made to the persons whose property has been taken or damaged. The other half of its duty is to ascertain and declare who has received the benefits accruing from the property taken, and in what proportion they shall pay them. If the jury returns a verdict that all the property of the corporation has been equally benefited, no matter where it is located, or of what it consists, then a tax should be levied by valuation to pay the damages. If the jury should determine that half the damages should be charged against the city and the other half against the adjoining property, then the money could be raised accordingly. But, if it returned a verdict that none of the benefits accruing from the improvement extended to all the property of the city, then the cost of the work must be charged against so much property as is adjudged to be benefited. Such a rule as this is based on common sense and fairness, and does not outrage the sense of justice by taxing Wabash avenue, for example, to widen its rival, State street; or tax Clark street to pay for extending its rival, Dearborn; or tax the whole city for making improvements which are purely local, and intended for the benefit of a few individuals. Where has our Common Council the authority to assess the cost of opening, widening, or extending a street upon the tax-payers of the whole city, regardless of the resulting benefit or injury to their property? In the absence of such legal authority, any tax-payer could enjoin and defeat the collection of such tax.

There is another aspect of the case which Mr. Nelson has overlooked in his bill: In proving for making compensation to owners of property taken or damaged for public use, he leaves out of view the damages that will be inflicted on unbenefited persons in the shape of taxes to pay for such property. Can their property be taken in order to compensate the other parties without some provision being made to recompense them? Take the State-street case, for example: The damages awarded to A we will suppose to be $50,000, and $5,000 of the amount is levied by general tax on the property of B, on Wabash avenue, who has received no benefit, but really has been injured by the improvement of the rival street. The tax-payer sells one of B's lots on that avenue. Has he not received a damage to the extent of the loss of his lot, and is he not, according to the

Bishops, that the Catholic Church would cheerfully accept the new Prussian institutions, but, on the other hand, discovered that the Catholic Church was decrying these institutions to the masses, whereupon he emphatically protests against it in the following strong declaration: "I assume nobody of acting contrary to his convictions; but it seems to me that the character of this Catholic department has changed for the worse. It was originally formed in order that there might be civil servants whose principal duty should be to exercise and support the rights of the State with respect to the Catholic Church. This they were to do, of course, in an amicable manner, as is usual between friendly powers. Latterly, however, they seem to me to have exclusively represented the rights of the Church in the State, and against the State. * * * I must insist on the point of view adopted by the Government, that the Government of a country in which religious equality exists cannot be expected to act on denominational principles in any respect. A Government can only act on denominational principles when it has a State's religion, which is not the case with us."

There is no mistaking such language as this. It is clear, terse, and emphatic, and gives the clue to the policy of the Government. Under such a declaration as this, it is evident that the machinations of the Jesuits will only result in their expulsion from Germany, as they have resulted in almost every other country, for the Jesuit is always the secret enemy of every form of government which he cannot control. It is impossible to give this speech any other construction. While the State will insist that every citizen be permitted to hold a dogma sacred, even when the Government does not accept it, it will not allow the ecclesiastical authorities to demand governmental recognition of that dogma, or even to exercise the power of State. In other words, the Church has one place and the State another, and they move hereafter independent of each other. Thus, while allowing the Catholic Church to act as a Church in theological matters without restriction, so long as it does not interfere with the State, all other denominations will have the same privilege. Under such a policy, Dr. Doellinger is free to denounce the dogma of Infallibility without fear of disturbance and to gather his followers about him, and the Jesuits, if they persist in their designs against the Government, will find themselves suppressed by the Government.

A delegation from the New York City branch of the Internationale has waited upon the Aldermanic Committee of Public Works in that city, and demanded that the authorities should provide free bath, lighted with gas, for the meetings of the people. Our despatches of yesterday said: "The Committee expressed sympathy with the idea, and will endeavor to advance it." It is natural that a portion of a body of men whose main idea about public works is apparently that of working on the public in any way whatever that will keep themselves in power, should pretend to sympathize with any proposition that seems to protect the poor. The gravity made by the representatives of any considerable part of the people. The amazing pettiness of the "demand" in question is truly remarkable. It is not that the right of popular discussion shall be granted; the right has never been disputed. It is not that the authorities shall protect the meetings of the people from disturbance and attack; they are not in danger of any such annoyance. It is simply that, because a number of persons wish to meet together, and yet do not think the privilege worth paying for, they ask the city to furnish them the place to meet in, and the light to illuminate the place. The illumination would be much better if it were directed to their own minds. Here is a great organization, which claims to number its members by tens of thousands in this country alone, playing the part of a pitiful beggar for broken scraps. A clean, comfortable hall, well-lighted and capable of seating one thousand persons, can be hired in New York for one night for $50. Five cents a piece from the men and women present would pay for everything. It has been published far and wide that the Internationale has fifty thousand members in New York City alone. If this is true, a tax of one mill per capita would pay for a hall capable of accommodating as many persons as would be likely to attend any single meeting. From this cool "demand" to be relieved, at the expense of the comfortably well-off portion of the people, of such a petty tax as this, it would seem that the idea which rules in England and France, that people shall be taxed so that poverty is looked upon rather as a badge of virtue and distinction, entitling its proud possessor to various rewards, than as the mask of shiftlessness in either its present victim or his ancestors. The people whom the Internationale wishes to have pay, for their own amusements and for its diversions, owe their ability to do so to the industry and thrift of themselves or their parents. In order to encourage these virtues, the Internationale proposes to tax their results that it may lavish charity upon those who have been too idle or too careless to practice them heretofore.

For the benefit of those disinterested office-holding editors and office-controlling politicians who have been so loud in asking what practical good could result from reforming the "best Civil Service in the world," we notice one of the minor points in the report of the Bribery Investigation Committee of the Kansas Legislature. In March, 1866, Senator Pomeroy and the Hon. Sidney Clarke began to scheme to secure re-election to the Senate and Governorship, which was deemed important to obtain the support of the Lawrence *Journal*, at that time under the editorial charge of one M. W. Reynolds. By a curious coincidence, the *Journal* began to urge the claims of Pomeroy and Clarke immediately after the receipt by Reynolds of a note for $1,400, signed by the two candidates. The bribe seems, however, to have been insufficient, for the *Journal* soon changed its mind and supported the two worthies vigorously. After the contest was over and the constituency had elected Pomeroy, the note held by Reynolds was protested. He sued, and the defendants, with unblushing effrontery, plead that the note was given for an improper purpose, and so saved their pockets at the expense of their reputations. Their victim had the necessary papers prepared for the appeal to the Supreme Court, when another curious coincidence occurred. Reynolds was appointed Receiver of the Land Office in Southern Kansas, and the suit of Reynolds against Pomeroy and Clarke was straightway withdrawn. His official duties are now combined with those of editing a weekly paper, which supports Pomeroy for re-election for a third term. If the Civil Service Reform had been in practical operation, Senator Pomeroy would never have been able to procure his enemy's silence by giving him a Federal office. The suit would have been brought before the Supreme Court of Kansas, and Reynolds-stung by treachery and defeat, might have been used to unseat Pomeroy, in the same manner in which the result of this investigation is to be used to unseat Caldwell. Neither Caldwell, of Kansas, nor Clayton, of Arkansas, should lose hope while Pomeroy retains his place, and Abbott, of North Carolina, can find two out of five Senators to report in favor of his preposterous claim to a seat among them.

The marked decline of the English drama is attracting much attention. It is many years since a play has been published as a literary work. The causes of such a state of things are readily discerned, but not so readily remedied. The Government supervision cramps the playwrights more or less, often seriously. The lack of good actors has discouraged good work; for a first-class play, with its present vitiated taste of audiences, cannot succeed without first-class interpreters. Your average theatre-goer wishes to see and not to hear. A "grand transformation-scene," where many-colored lights flash across the stage, and a score or so of scantily-clothed women writhe and gesticulate upon it, will produce far larger cash returns than a scene of Shakspeare. So the prudent play-wright aims at a certain homogeneousness cannot last long, for, in Prussia, though we stand in a rather elastic relation to each other in our various departments, we bear, notwithstanding, a common responsibility. I am to a certain point responsible for what is done by the Minister of Public Worship, and he, in his turn, must withdraw if he declines his share of responsibility for what is done by me." In other words, if the Minister of Public Worship don't like things, he can leave. This is an *argumentum ad hominem*, beyond which lies no appeal. He still further defines his opinions by a general declaration: "I take as my starting point that every form of religion among us should possess freedom of action and liberty of conscience, but from this principle I have never deduced the consequence that the adherents of every sect should be counted and enjoy a share in the Administration in proportion to their numbers." He furthermore expresses his surprise, on returning from France, to find a new sectarian army mobilised. He had expected to find in the Catholic Church a stay and an ally, and he had been led to believe, from the declarations of the Pope and some of the

Henry Hilton was appointed to some office which gave him a supervisory power over the Central Park collections. The freaks of the learned Hilton were many and startling. When Peter Cooper presented the skeleton of a whale to the Museum, Mr. Hilton had it carefully painted white. Elated by the beauty of the specimen when thus adorned, the ingenious H. tried his artistic hand on a bronze statue, and painted that white! In an unlucky moment, the eagle eye of this iconoclastic reformer lighted on the huge skeletons which Mr. Hawkins had reared at the expense to himself of much pains-taking and care, and at the expense to the city of some $12,000. Whether or not the naturalist declined to allow his works to be plastered over with paint, we do not know, but certain it is that Mr. Hilton had them all destroyed with a sledge-hammer, and then had the fragments carted away and buried. They were afterward dug up by the order of Colonel Stebbins, and found to be irretrievably ruined. Professor Henry, of the Smithsonian Institute, was ready to pay a good price for the skeletons, but the great white-washer, Hilton, judged best to destroy them utterly. Evidently, the Government of New York City needs reform in other points besides honesty.

The Rev. E. Michaud, Vicar of the Madelaine, in Paris, has made his appearance as a second Pere Hyacinthe. As is usual in cases of revolt from theological authorities, the bold priest is hailed as without a peer in learning and eloquence. It would be well, however, to receive such statements with many grains of salt. It seems that, like many other French clergymen, Michaud found himself unable to accept the dogma of Papal infallibility, and yet contented himself with ignoring instead of denying it. A short time since, his conduct attracted the notice of his ecclesiastical superiors, and the Archdeacon of the Archbishop of Paris notified him that the sacraments must not be administered to or by persons who did not heartily receive the dogma in question, and that such persons were disqualified from performing mass. Thus goaded into rebellion, Michaud rebelled. In a public letter to the Archbishop, he says that he has been and will be a Catholic,—has been and will be a priest. The following paragraph exhibits his spirit:

Obliged for the moment to recognise in you, not the right of force, but the might of force, I cannot, it is true, exercise my sacerdotal ministry in the churches which, through the ignorance of the faithful, you control. But the place does not affect the validity of the sacraments. Wherever I shall call me I will act. To every one demanding I will administer the sacraments of penitence, baptism, marriage, the eucharist, and extreme unction. I will accompany the dead to their last resting-place, and I will there recite the prayers of the Church.

This is practically defying excommunication.

There is a prediction in Isaiah that the Lord shall utterly destroy the tongue of the Egyptian Sea. When the Suez Canal was first projected, the Memphis *Baptist* indulged in an abstruse exegesis of portions of Holy Writ, and declared, as the result of its labors, the fact that the canal was the tongue of the Egyptian Sea, and was, therefore, doomed to utter destruction. Now that reports are coming of its filling up, the *Baptist* waxes jubilant, and shrieks, "I told you so," in its loudest tones and largest type. In order to encourage commerce, however, and, at the same time, hasten the Millennium, the *Baptist* plunges anew into the ocean of theological truth, and comes up with the information, culled from some unknown text or texts, that when the reign of universal peace is fairly under way, a canal will be opened from the Mediterranean Sea into the Dead Sea, and hence to the Red Sea; and that the navies of the whole world will find ample harbor in the Dead Sea. Why the navies of the whole world should go there, unless to fulfill the prophecies of the Memphis *Baptist*, it is hard to see, and, with all due deference to the periodical in question, it is also hard to see how they would get there. The level of the Dead Sea is some 1,300 feet below that of the Mediterranean, and only an improved system of locks will certainly be necessary in order to carry out the predictions of Isaiah.

THE NORTHWEST.

ILLINOIS.

Daily union prayer-meetings have been established in Macomb, from 8:45 to 9:45 a. m.

—Yates complains of a dull corn, oats, and slaughter-house refuse from Chicago, received via canal.

—The Waukegan papers report dilapidated improvements in the Post Office.

—A man working on a farm near Gilson was presented by a young lady with a fine plaited-bosom shirt, made with an open back. He wore it bind-side before for a year before he found out how it worked. A friend told him his mistake. He remarked that he thought it strange the young woman should put so much work on the back.

—The Brown County *Democrat* records the death last week of no less than three pioneers of that county,—William Cunningham, Joseph Phillips, and Michael Smith.

—Major E. H. Gray, who served with distinction in the Thirty-third Illinois Infantry Regiment during the war of the rebellion, recently died of congestive chills, at Bushville.

—Elgin has appointed a committee of nine citizens to ascertain the actual number of the city indebtedness.

—The first Post Office in Kansas County was established at Herrington's Ford—now Geneva—in 1838, and called La Fox Post Office. The mail being easily conveyed in the hat crown of whomsoever might be passing between Naperville and La Fox, and only making its regular appearances in charge of "Daddy Wilson," once in two weeks.

—A number of gentlemen of Ottawa organized on Tuesday evening a society for the prosecution of violators of game and fish laws. Last summer the law prohibiting fishing with seines and nets in the waters of the Fox and Illinois rivers was persistently ignored, and all attempts to punish the violators were failures, simply because the prosecution did not have sufficient backing.

—Alexander Small, a farmer living near Aurora, has kept all his wool for the last five years until last week, when he brought it to town and sold it to Daniel Valentine for 45cents per pound. There were 14,1293 pounds, all in prime order.

IOWA.

The Rev. F. Humphreys, of Trinity Church, Muscatine, was recently seized with a fainting fit in the midst of the Litany.

—Mrs. Slater, of West Liberty, 79 years of age, has had 115 children, grand, and great-grand-children,—96 of whom are living.

—Last June, a Scotsguna farmer refused to sell a keg of nice butter at 25 cents per pound, and clung to it until a few days ago, when he sold one-third of it for soap-grease at 8 cents per pound—the remaining two-thirds having disappeared by leakage.

—Mrs. O'Donovan Rossa writes to the Sioux City *Times* that she is prepared to give a series of readings throughout the East and West, and wants to appear in Iowa.

—The Marshall County Bar passed resolutions at Marshalltown, on Saturday, declaring that J. L. Herbert, elected Sheriff, is disqualified according to law, hence the office is vacant.

—Iowa purchased more than 200,000 small maple trees of Michigan last fall.

WISCONSIN.

Plastering, lath, and stone have commenced falling from the ceiling of the Senate Chamber at Madison.

—The Green Bay Post-office officials don't like to answer questions. The following, posted over the general delivery, expresses their sentiments: "Price of 3 cent stamps, 3 cents each; licked and stuck, 5 cents each; the clock will answer the question, 'Has the mail closed?'"

INDIANA.

Colonel Henry B. Carrington, Professor of Military Science at Wabash College, appeals for contributions to complete the fire-proof buildings and furnish models and apparatus.

—A New Albany bride waked up in the night, forgetting she was married, and aroused the neighborhood with a rapid succession of shrieks. The mistook John for a burglar.

—Josephus Collett, Sen., 85 years of age, died at Eugene last week.

—At the hospital in Fort Wayne, a few days ago, there died a man named Bartas, a Polander by birth, who had had a remarkable experience. He fought against Russia when his countrymen revolted against the Czar. He afterwards became a priest, and served in that capacity in several different countries. His last settlement was at Laporte, Ind. He was one of the finest linguists in the Catholic Church, spoke fourteen different languages fluently, and at last died in poverty.

—Hiram Bacon has sold his Century farm, four miles northeastof Indianapolis, for $43,750. He resided thereon for fifty years, and says that, during his many years of toil, he only expected too realise a living off the place, and it is but a few years since he began to see that he had a comfortable competency in his acres. He now feels very well satisfied with his life work. It was a great work to make a farm of 350 acres from the green timber, with no markets for thirty years of the time, having to haul all grain sold to the Ohio River, and drive hogs to Cincinnati or Detroit.

MINNESOTA.

H. C. Hoffman, of Red Wing, died at the Arkansas Hot Springs recently.

—There is a Court House fight in Scott County, between Shakspeare and Jordan.

—Winnebago City is to have a new three-story steam mill.

—In the County of Olmstead, there are 414,720 acres of land, of which there are under cultivation 144,393 acres.

The La Crosse *Democrat* says that the Minnesota Senate has granted Thomas McRoberts, of La Crescent, a ferry charter, giving him the exclusive right for five years to the west bank of the river from La Crescent to half a mile below the Southern Minnesota Railroad depot.

—A resident of Alton, Ill., reports having seen in China six American clocks hung in one room as ornaments.

WASHINGTON.

The Sale of American Arms to France.

Proposed Refunding of the Special Cotton Tax—The Baltimore & Ohio Railway.

Synopsis of the Legislative, Executive, and Judicial Appropriation Bill.

FROM OUR OWN CORRESPONDENT.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 21.

The President's self-styled friends are raising another row over the arms-selling investigation. You can see them every day,—Morton, Conkling, Edmunds, Nye, Pratt, and Carpenter,—picking up chips to throw on the sly at Sumner and Schurz; but it is an old fight. Sumner gets up now and then and falls on them; Schurz impales them; and then Tipton gets up and flails them.

Stripped of the heavy vesture of debate, and putting the direct question, Was it not a scandal for a nation which is just mating up a Nemesis case against England for letting certain vessels slip through her fingers, to be actually using the arsenals throughout the country to make fixed ammunition under contract for French agents, every Minie-ball and bullet of which was intended to open a road through German lives for another Napoleonic conquest of Germany? How could Mr. Bancroft Davis have amplified this horrible outrage had it been committed by the arsenals of England, to give the Confederate soldiers missiles for use at Gettysburg, Chambersburg, and Nashville? Besides, to put it in a dramatic way, there were the muskets and rifles which German-Americans had borne over all the battle-fields of the country, sold for a dollar, and less than a dollar frequently, apiece: put into the hands of the French Communists, to be pointed against the Fatherland. Belittle this matter as the Administration may, the fact will carry indignation to hundreds of thousands of German men and women in Wisconsin, California, Illinois, Ohio, and New York. It is queer that to send a ship, without arms, from the port of Liverpool, is a matter of reclamation, with compound interest, when to load millions of cartridges with powder and ball, in the arsenals, to be used against another country with which ours is at peace, merely comes under "commercial regulations," and is perfectly legitimate!

Carl Schurz said, in this debate, what is well understood here, that there is a military ring, composed of Bureau officers and officers of the Army, who control the War affairs, and had its emissaries even at the President's elbow. How shall we ever investigate these abuses, coming home as they do to the very fireside of officials? Is this a corrupt army, what safeir have we in time of war, if, perhaps, the men who command it may sell out for cash?

THE COTTON TAX.

Amongst the singular movements of an hour is one to refund the whole cotton tax taken from the South during the latter part of the war, and subsequent to it. I do not say that this will amount, in gross, to $65,000,000. The tax imposed was 3 cents a pound, and nobody could move the cotton from any State or any point without paying the tax, under penalty of $100 per bale, the forfeiture of the cotton, and also of the vehicle, team, or vessel attempting to take it away. The ground on which the refunding of this tax was urged is, that it was unconstitutional under the articles which said that "All duties, taxes, and imposts shall be uniform throughout the United States," and that "No tax or duty shall be levied on articles exported from any State."

Some time ago Benjamin Curtis, who is generally looked on as the head of the American Bar, made an argument on this issue before the Supreme Court; but the Court fell into the notion that he had not made a very strong case, and decided the other way. The proposition now is, to repay this tax, in the form of 4½ interest-bearing bonds, to run 40 years; and a formidable coalition of folks are seeking to combine the whole Southern vote with the whole Democratic vote and crowd it through.

As the tax fell wholly upon the Southern States, and upon a local staple, the Rebels and negroes are alike concerned in it, and the Rebel element demand Northern Democratic support as a condition of party fealty.

THE LEGISLATIVE BILL.

We have had the most formidable winter ever known in Washington,—the thermometer lower, more cold days, more snow, and yet more mud. The latter has been level high, and a dozen masked balls have happened. The dressing has been rampant. Some of the finest wardrobes seen at our public levees have looked a good deal bedraggled by a fly some here down toward the elbows. In all this miserable weather, the public improvements of the town, paid for by the citizens, are going on; and the Post Office railways running here improve the snowy hours with all speed.

RAILWAY ENERGY.

The Baltimore & Ohio Railroad expects to open in June a direct line hence to Point of Rocks, reducing the time to Pittsburgh and Cincinnati two or three hours, and avoiding all dangers. This piece of railway, or road, though only 42 miles long, was estimated to cost $2,600,000; and one single mile upon it, near the mouth of the Monocacy River, has already cost nearly $300,000. The country between Washington and Harper's Ferry consists of a series of bold, almost mountainous hills, which are crossed transversely, emptying into the Potomac; and this road is to leap every stream on a high and costly bridge, and then plunge immediately into a heavy rock-tunnel. In one place, 70,000 cubic yards of rock and earth had to be moved. Some of the cuts are 50 feet deep, and

...S IN THEIR CHIPS.

...e Gamblers Meet ...ghtful Financial ...Disaster.

...s Adventurers Capture ...00 in Ten Days' Play.

...ld of the "fast and fancy" has ...st ten days, shaken by a finan... has scarcely a parallel in the ...ilar fraternity who follow for ...anipulation of the cards and ...efore have the laurels of the ...t men" so suddenly withered. ...ons of the case-hardened ones ...e a "squeal" as heartfelt a one ...e is weeping and wailing ...ses, for their strong man has ...ing has been outflanked, and ...ve been overwhelmingly burst. ...happened:

...ithdrawing desire to re-vivify the ...glories of sacred city, three St. ...ermen with a goodly supply of ...ensured on crusading Chicago, ...y therefrom the palm of gamin... ...ames of these adventurers are ...k Bachelor, and Ned Tierney, ...y set up a "bank" on the South ...Smith as fourth partner. Their ...se run with immense success ...and nights, in which time they ...ersed all of the Lilliputian ...nds some of the heavier guns ...The last named fellow, how... ...ted to. At the "Al." Smith ...mused the lucky man of ...drew most of the pool. ...staked his "pile" at the ...ge St. Louis champions and their ...ers are not long deserted. Two ...e playing, the unkind goddess ...Smith, and he, too, soon goes ...y broken old himself, and ...tore, having pumped the South ...erred their bank to the West Di... ...ent the West Side fancy from ...y. They went in with alacrity, ...ortune for a few seconds of ...balance. "Dick" Roche, play... ...he St. Louis triumvirate, ...ng to them first, and then the ...The St. Louis men slowly but ...round; and not alone won back ...ir own, but those of the ...e temporary triumph. The play ...Friday evening, and continued ...ing, when the West Side, ...night, when the triumvirate ...effectually drained every pocket ...ir men" so suddenly withered. ...ons of the case-hardened ones ...e a "squeal" as heartfelt a one ...e is weeping and wailing ...ses, for their strong man has ...ing has been outflanked, and ...ve been overwhelmingly burst. ...happened:

...with

NEW YORK.

Erie History--New and Startling Developments.

Exploits of a Bohemian in the Bribery Line.

Daily Review of the Leading Markets.

Special Despatch to The Chicago Tribune.

ERIE HISTORY--NEW DEVELOPMENTS.

NEW YORK, Feb. 26.--The *Herald*'s statement of one George Wilcox, whom it styles a Bohemian magnate, is nearly seven columns, relating to certain transactions he professes to have had with officers of the Erie Railway.

Wilcox sets forth that his first interview was with the late Colonel Fisk, who, one day at the office in the Opera House, said to him:

"Wilcox, I'm afraid we are going to get into a row again with those Englishmen, and I want you to help me out."

He suggested that the *Herald* was the best paper to see on the subject, as he was personally acquainted with its editor, Mr. Fisk accordingly acquainted with its editor, Mr. Fisk accordingly acquainted with its editor, Mr. Fisk accordingly